

Message

From: Pierce, Jennifer [pierce.jennifer@epa.gov]
Sent: 8/27/2020 9:29:19 PM
To: Albright, David [Albright.David@epa.gov]; Garnett, Desean [Garnett.Desean@epa.gov]
CC: Ho, Yenhung [Ho.Yenhung@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: [Ext] RE: Meeting re. CES Technical Review Letter

Sounds good, we can certainly do that. Thanks David,

Jenny

Attorney Advisor
EPA Region 9, Office of the Regional Counsel
Direct: (415) 972-3883

This email, including attachments, may contain information that is confidential and/or protected by the attorney/client, attorney work product, or other privileges.

From: Albright, David <Albright.David@epa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, August 27, 2020 10:07 AM
To: Pierce, Jennifer <pierce.jennifer@epa.gov>; Garnett, Desean <Garnett.Desean@epa.gov>
Cc: Ho, Yenhung <Ho.Yenhung@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: [Ext] RE: Meeting re. CES Technical Review Letter

Hi Jenny, we intend to cover all the questions, however, the question on ESA and NHPA compliance might be one where we ask for your input as well.

Thanks, David

From: Pierce, Jennifer <pierce.jennifer@epa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, August 27, 2020 10:04 AM
To: Albright, David <Albright.David@epa.gov>; Garnett, Desean <Garnett.Desean@epa.gov>
Cc: Ho, Yenhung <Ho.Yenhung@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: [Ext] RE: Meeting re. CES Technical Review Letter

Privileged/confidential

Hi David,

Thanks for forwarding. I am assuming your team will cover the majority of these responses. Are there any questions in particular you would like us to be prepared to address?

Jenny

Attorney Advisor
EPA Region 9, Office of the Regional Counsel
Direct: (415) 972-3883

This email, including attachments, may contain information that is confidential and/or protected by the attorney/client, attorney work product, or other privileges.

From: Albright, David <Albright.David@epa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, August 27, 2020 9:46 AM
To: Garnett, Desean <Garnett.Desean@epa.gov>; Pierce, Jennifer <pierce.jennifer@epa.gov>
Cc: Ho, Yenhung <Ho.Yenhung@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: [Ext] RE: Meeting re. CES Technical Review Letter

Hi Desean and Jenny, see below for a list of questions that CES wants to cover during our call tomorrow.
Thanks, David

From: Natalie Nowiski <NNowiski@slb.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 27, 2020 9:41 AM
To: Ho, Yenhung <Ho.Yenhung@epa.gov>
Cc: Shari.Ring@cadmusgroup.com; MaryEllen.Tuccillo@cadmusgroup.com; Albright, David <Albright.David@epa.gov>; Vivian Rohrback <VRohrback@slb.com>; Scott Eberhardt <eberhardt4@slb.com>; 'Rebecca Hollis' <rhollis@cleanenergysystems.com>
Subject: RE: [Ext] RE: Meeting re. CES Technical Review Letter

Hi Calvin,

Below please find the questions that our team would appreciate having addressed during tomorrow's call.

- How should CES reply to the Questions? Via formal permit modification? Or via a response letter?
- Since images in the submittal document will change, do we need to provide the entire document again, or just the excerpt?
- When is the response for the Objectives for Pre-op due?
- How much detail is required for the Objectives for Pre-op?
- What discussions / dialogue will take place once the EPA has reviewed the responses for the Objectives?
- How do we incorporate updates not requested by the EPA?
- Do we need to provide the information requested with respect to the ESA and the NHPA by September 30th?
 - Can we provide the reports that are being prepared for CARB and CEQA review once they have been completed at a later date
 - Will the analysis under the CARB Protocols and CEQA review be required to be completed and approved in advance of the EPA being able to issue the Permit to Construct?
- The seal integrity (continuity, capillary pressure) of our primary confining formation (1st panoche shale) is unknown at this time. Should we plan to characterize the 1st panoche sand as a secondary confining zone and Moreno shale as part of formation testing/pre-operational testing?
 - Two rounds of pre-operational testing, cover 1st panoche shale and 2nd panoche sand first and then add additional zones if initial results show 1st panoche shale is not a suitable confining layer?

Thank you again for arranging this call.

Best regards,
Natalie